



Staff: DR.ANUP THOMAS

Subject: CYT100 - ENGINEERING CHEMISTRY

Session Name: End Evaluation Batch: BTech KTU BT 2K19 Session Id: TE-SN365-Aug-2020 Survey Id: TE26-Jan-2020 **Users Completed: 49** Overall Score: 88.42

Section	Question	Option	Mark	Users Opted	Opted %	Total Mark
1	Subject Knowledge	Excellent	17.75	28	57.14%	497
	, ,	Good	14.13	15	30.61%	211.95
		Fair	10.28	4	8.16%	41.12
		Poor	3.53	1	2.04%	3.53
		Can't Judge	8.00	1	2.04%	8
	2. Clarity and Understanding of teachers	Excellent	14.50	26	53.06%	377
	Explanations	Good	11.81	16	32.65%	188.96
		Fair	8.11	5	10.2%	40.55
		Poor	2.01	1	2.04%	2.01
		Can't Judge	4.09	1	2.04%	4.09
	3. Teachers Willingness to Help	Excellent	7.50	26	53.06%	195
	o. reactions willing flood to floop	Good	5.71	18	36.73%	102.78
		Fair	4.04	3	6.12%	12.12
		Poor	1.13	1	2.04%	1.13
		Can't Judge	2.21	1	2.04%	2.21
	4. Approximate % of classes NOT engaged by the	Less than 10	5.85	36	73.47%	210.6
	teachers	10 to 25	3.66	7	14.29%	25.62
		More than 25	1.91	1	2.04%	1.91
		Can't Judge	1.64	5	10.2%	8.2
	5. Whether the teachers dictates notes only	No	4.00	40	81.63%	160
	5. Whether the teachers dictates hotes only	Yes	0.67	4	8.16%	2.68
		Can't Judge	1.65	5	10.2%	8.25
2	Teachers ability to organize lecture	Excellent	9.25	32	65.31%	296
	1. reachers ability to organize lecture	Satisfactory	6.34	14	28.57%	88.76
		Inadequate	2.50	2	4.08%	5
		Can't Judge	3.16	1	2.04%	3.16
	2. Speed of presentation	Just Right	4.75	43	87.76%	204.25
		Too Fast	1.34	2	4.08%	2.68
		Can't Judge	1.40	4	8.16%	5.6
	2. Doos the teacher encourage guestioning	Yes	6.88	37	75.51%	254.56
	3. Does the teacher encourage questioning	Some Times	3.89	7	14.29%	27.23
		No	0.74	1	2.04%	0.74
		Can't Judge	1.78	4	8.16%	7.12
	4 Pohovier of the teacher	Pleasant	6.75	42	85.71%	283.5
	4. Behavior of the teacher	Indifferent	2.78	3	6.12%	8.34
		Unpleasant	1.28	2	4.08%	2.56
		Can't Judge	1.94	2	4.08%	3.88
	E. Cinnerity of the teacher	Sincere	11.50	45	91.84%	517.5
	5. Sincerity of the teacher	Not Sincere	1.33	1	2.04%	1.33
		Unable to Judge	5.06	2	4.08%	10.12
		Blank	4.03	1	2.04%	4.03
	C. Overell Teaching offertive	Excellent	11.25	32	65.31%	360
	6. Overall Teaching effectiveness	Good	9.03	14	28.57%	126.42
		Fair	6.46	2	4.08%	12.92
		Poor	1.56	0	0%	0
· .						

Strength and Weakness

- Strength Interaction between studentsEasy to understand classes

Special Remarks • good • good • Excellent

- Nice





Staff: DR.RAJI V.V

Subject: CYT100 - ENGINEERING CHEMISTRY

Session Name: End Evaluation Batch: BTech KTU BT 2K19 Session Id: TE-SN365-Aug-2020 Survey Id: TE26-Jan-2020 **Users Completed: 55** Overall Score: 88.3

Section	Question	Option	Mark	Users Opted	Opted %	Total Mark
1	1. Subject Knowledge	Excellent	17.75	29	52.73%	514.75
	, ,	Good	14.13	20	36.36%	282.6
		Fair	10.28	4	7.27%	41.12
		Poor	3.53	0	0%	0
		Can't Judge	8.00	2	3.64%	16
	2. Clarity and Understanding of teachers	Excellent	14.50	21	38.18%	304.5
	Explanations	Good	11.81	26	47.27%	307.06
		Fair	8.11	7	12.73%	56.77
		Poor	2.01	1	1.82%	2.01
		Can't Judge	4.09	0	0%	0
	3. Teachers Willingness to Help	Excellent	7.50	25	45.45%	187.5
	o. reachers willingness to ricip	Good	5.71	23	41.82%	131.33
		Fair	4.04	6	10.91%	24.24
		Poor	1.13	1	1.82%	1.13
		Can't Judge	2.21	0	0%	0
	4. Approximate % of classes NOT engaged by the	Less than 10	5.85	45	81.82%	263.25
	teachers	10 to 25	3.66	6	10.91%	21.96
		More than 25	1.91	2	3.64%	3.82
		Can't Judge	1.64	2	3.64%	3.28
	C M/leathers the teachers distates water and	No	4.00	50	90.91%	200
	5. Whether the teachers dictates notes only	Yes	0.67	3	5.45%	2.01
		Can't Judge	1.65	2	3.64%	3.3
2	4 7 1 122 1 122	Excellent	9.25	33	60%	305.25
_	Teachers ability to organize lecture	Satisfactory	6.34	18	32.73%	114.12
		Inadequate	2.50	2	3.64%	5
		Can't Judge	3.16	2	3.64%	6.32
		Just Right	4.75	50	90.91%	237.5
	2. Speed of presentation	Too Fast	1.34	1	1.82%	1.34
		Can't Judge	1.40	4	7.27%	5.6
		Yes	6.88	42	76.36%	288.96
	3. Does the teacher encourage questioning	Some Times	3.89	9	16.36%	35.01
		No	0.74	1	1.82%	0.74
		Can't Judge	1.78	3	5.45%	5.34
	4.5.1	Pleasant	6.75	49	89.09%	330.75
	4. Behavior of the teacher	Indifferent	2.78	1	1.82%	2.78
		Unpleasant	1.28	1	1.82%	1.28
		Can't Judge	1.94	4	7.27%	7.76
	5.01 11 (11)	Sincere	11.50	51	92.73%	586.5
	5. Sincerity of the teacher	Not Sincere	1.33	0	0%	0
		Unable to Judge	5.06	3	5.45%	15.18
		Blank	4.03	1	1.82%	4.03
		Excellent	11.25	29	52.73%	326.25
	6. Overall Teaching effectiveness	Good	9.03	29	36.36%	180.6
		Fair	6.46	4	7.27%	25.84
		Poor	1.56	1	1.82%	1.56
		Can't Judge	2.03	1	1.82%	2.03

Strength and Weakness • Strength - Knowledge • good teaching

Special Remarks • excellent • Good

- able to understand well.clarity Nice





Staff: DR.SANDHYA K.S

Subject: CYT100 - ENGINEERING CHEMISTRY

Session Name: End Evaluation Batch: BTech KTU BT 2K19 Session Id: TE-SN365-Aug-2020 Survey Id: TE26-Jan-2020 **Users Completed: 56** Overall Score: 88.78

Section	Question	Option	Mark	Users Opted	Opted %	Total Mark
1	1. Subject Knowledge	Excellent	17.75	27	48.21%	479.25
		Good	14.13	23	41.07%	324.99
		Fair	10.28	3	5.36%	30.84
		Poor	3.53	1	1.79%	3.53
		Can't Judge	8.00	2	3.57%	16
	2. Clarity and Understanding of teachers	Excellent	14.50	29	51.79%	420.5
	Explanations	Good	11.81	21	37.5%	248.01
		Fair	8.11	4	7.14%	32.44
		Poor	2.01	1	1.79%	2.01
		Can't Judge	4.09	1	1.79%	4.09
	3. Teachers Willingness to Help	Excellent	7.50	30	53.57%	225
	o. reachers willingness to rielp	Good	5.71	22	39.29%	125.62
		Fair	4.04	3	5.36%	12.12
		Poor	1.13	0	0%	0
		Can't Judge	2.21	1	1.79%	2.21
	4. Approximate % of classes NOT engaged by the	Less than 10	5.85	47	83.93%	274.95
	teachers	10 to 25	3.66	3	5.36%	10.98
		More than 25	1.91	3	5.36%	5.73
		Can't Judge	1.64	3	5.36%	4.92
	E. Whether the teachers distates notes only	No	4.00	49	87.5%	196
	5. Whether the teachers dictates notes only	Yes	0.67	3	5.36%	2.01
		Can't Judge	1.65	4	7.14%	6.6
2	4. Tarahaman hilita ta annonina batama	Excellent	9.25	35	62.5%	323.75
_	Teachers ability to organize lecture	Satisfactory	6.34	18	32.14%	114.12
		Inadequate	2.50	0	0%	0
		Can't Judge	3.16	3	5.36%	9.48
		Just Right	4.75	48	85.71%	228
	2. Speed of presentation	Too Fast	1.34	2	3.57%	2.68
		Can't Judge	1.40	6	10.71%	8.4
		Yes	6.88	44	78.57%	302.72
	3. Does the teacher encourage questioning	Some Times	3.89	7	12.5%	27.23
		No	0.74	2	3.57%	1.48
		Can't Judge	1.78	3	5.36%	5.34
	4.5.1	Pleasant	6.75	51	91.07%	344.25
	4. Behavior of the teacher	Indifferent	2.78	1	1.79%	2.78
		Unpleasant	1.28	1	1.79%	1.28
		Can't Judge	1.94	3	5.36%	5.82
		Sincere	11.50	51	91.07%	586.5
	5. Sincerity of the teacher	Not Sincere	1.33	0	0%	0
		Unable to Judge	5.06	2	3.57%	10.12
		Blank	4.03	3	5.36%	12.09
		Excellent	11.25	34	60.71%	382.5
	6. Overall Teaching effectiveness	Good	9.03	18	32.14%	162.54
		Fair	6.46	1	1.79%	6.46
		Poor	1.56	0	0%	0.40
		Can't Judge	2.03	3	5.36%	6.09
		Carribuoge	2.03	٥	5.30%	0.09

Strength and Weakness

• Strength - Indepth knowledge Weakness - Not understandable

Special Remarks excellent Satisfactory great





Staff: DR.SIMI.V.S

Subject: CYT100 - ENGINEERING CHEMISTRY

Session Name: End Evaluation Batch: BTech KTU BT 2K19 Session Id: TE-SN365-Aug-2020 Survey Id: TE26-Jan-2020 **Users Completed: 57** Overall Score: 91.57

Section	Question	Option	Mark	Users Opted	Opted %	Total Mark
1	Subject Knowledge	Excellent	17.75	37	64.91%	656.75
	1. Subject the modge	Good	14.13	16	28.07%	226.08
		Fair	10.28	3	5.26%	30.84
		Poor	3.53	1	1.75%	3.53
		Can't Judge	8.00	0	0%	0
	2. Clarity and Understanding of teachers	Excellent	14.50	34	59.65%	493
	Explanations	Good	11.81	19	33.33%	224.39
		Fair	8.11	3	5.26%	24.33
		Poor	2.01	1	1.75%	2.01
		Can't Judge	4.09	0	0%	0
	3. Teachers Willingness to Help	Excellent	7.50	34	59.65%	255
	3. reachers willinghess to rielp	Good	5.71	18	31.58%	102.78
		Fair	4.04	4	7.02%	16.16
		Poor	1.13	0	0%	0
		Can't Judge	2.21	1	1.75%	2.21
	4. Approximate % of classes NOT engaged by the	Less than 10	5.85	46	80.7%	269.1
	teachers	10 to 25	3.66	3	5.26%	10.98
		More than 25	1.91	3	5.26%	5.73
		Can't Judge	1.64	5	8.77%	8.2
	F M/h atha ay tha tagala aya distata a yata a sala	No	4.00	52	91.23%	208
	5. Whether the teachers dictates notes only	Yes	0.67	2	3.51%	1.34
		Can't Judge	1.65	3	5.26%	4.95
2	4 T 1 199 1 1 1	Excellent	9.25	40	70.18%	370
_	Teachers ability to organize lecture	Satisfactory	6.34	16	28.07%	101.44
		Inadequate	2.50	0	0%	0
		Can't Judge	3.16	1	1.75%	3.16
		Just Right	4.75	52	91.23%	247
	2. Speed of presentation	Too Fast	1.34	3	5.26%	4.02
		Can't Judge	1.40	2	3.51%	2.8
		Yes	6.88	45	78.95%	309.6
	3. Does the teacher encourage questioning	Some Times	3.89	9	15.79%	35.01
		No	0.74	2	3.51%	1.48
		Can't Judge	1.78	1	1.75%	1.78
		Pleasant	6.75	54	94.74%	364.5
	4. Behavior of the teacher	Indifferent	2.78	0	0%	0
		Unpleasant	1.28	1	1.75%	1.28
		Can't Judge	1.94	2	3.51%	3.88
	<u> </u>	Sincere	11.50	55	96.49%	632.5
	5. Sincerity of the teacher	Not Sincere	1.33	0	0%	032.5
		Unable to Judge	5.06	1	1.75%	5.06
		Blank	4.03	1	1.75%	4.03
	6. Overall Teaching effectiveness	Excellent	11.25	39	68.42%	438.75
		Good	9.03	14	24.56%	126.42
		Fair	6.46	3	5.26%	19.38
		Poor	1.56	0	0%	0
		Can't Judge	2.03	1	1.75%	2.03

Strength and Weakness

- Strength Willingness to help Weakness low voice
 Good teaching

Special Remarks • good • extra ordinary

- Good
- great





Staff: SABARI V R

Subject: CYT100 - ENGINEERING CHEMISTRY

Session Name: End Evaluation Batch: BTech KTU BT 2K19 Session Id: TE-SN365-Aug-2020 Survey Id: TE26-Jan-2020 Overall Score: 87.5 **Users Completed: 52**

Section	Question	Option	Mark	Users Opted	Opted %	Total Mark
1	Subject Knowledge	Excellent	17.75	28	53.85%	497
	Subject two modge	Good	14.13	16	30.77%	226.08
		Fair	10.28	7	13.46%	71.96
		Poor	3.53	0	0%	0
		Can't Judge	8.00	1	1.92%	8
	2. Clarity and Understanding of teachers	Excellent	14.50	27	51.92%	391.5
	Explanations	Good	11.81	16	30.77%	188.96
		Fair	8.11	7	13.46%	56.77
		Poor	2.01	0	0%	0
		Can't Judge	4.09	2	3.85%	8.18
ľ	3. Teachers Willingness to Help	Excellent	7.50	28	53.85%	210
	c. reading willing need to ricip	Good	5.71	18	34.62%	102.78
		Fair	4.04	6	11.54%	24.24
		Poor	1.13	0	0%	0
		Can't Judge	2.21	0	0%	0
	4. Approximate % of classes NOT engaged by the	Less than 10	5.85	44	84.62%	257.4
	teachers	10 to 25	3.66	5	9.62%	18.3
		More than 25	1.91	1	1.92%	1.91
		Can't Judge	1.64	2	3.85%	3.28
	5. Whether the teachers dictates notes only	No	4.00	45	86.54%	180
	3. Whether the teachers dictates hotes only	Yes	0.67	4	7.69%	2.68
		Can't Judge	1.65	3	5.77%	4.95
2	Teachers ability to organize lecture	Excellent	9.25	31	59.62%	286.75
	1. Teachers ability to organize lecture	Satisfactory	6.34	17	32.69%	107.78
		Inadequate	2.50	1	1.92%	2.5
		Can't Judge	3.16	3	5.77%	9.48
ŀ	2. Speed of presentation	Just Right	4.75	45	86.54%	213.75
	2. Speed of presentation	Too Fast	1.34	3	5.77%	4.02
		Can't Judge	1.40	4	7.69%	5.6
ŀ	Does the teacher encourage questioning	Yes	6.88	37	71.15%	254.56
	3. Does the teacher encourage questioning	Some Times	3.89	9	17.31%	35.01
		No	0.74	2	3.85%	1.48
		Can't Judge	1.78	4	7.69%	7.12
ŀ	Behavior of the teacher	Pleasant	6.75	44	84.62%	297
	+. Deliavior of the teacher	Indifferent	2.78	3	5.77%	8.34
		Unpleasant	1.28	1	1.92%	1.28
		Can't Judge	1.94	4	7.69%	7.76
ŀ	E. Singarity of the tencher	Sincere	11.50	46	88.46%	529
	5. Sincerity of the teacher	Not Sincere	1.33	2	3.85%	2.66
		Unable to Judge	5.06	1	1.92%	5.06
		Blank	4.03	3	5.77%	12.09
	6 Overall Tapahina affactive rass	Excellent	11.25	28	53.85%	315
	6. Overall Teaching effectiveness	Good	9.03	17	32.69%	153.51
		Fair	6.46	5	9.62%	32.3
		Poor	1.56	0	0%	0
		Can't Judge	2.03	2	3.85%	4.06

Strength and Weakness

• Strength - Communication level

Special Remarks • good • Good • Great





Staff: DR. GEETHA KUMARI B.

Subject: EST100 - ENGINEERING MECHANICS

Session Name: End Evaluation Batch: BTech KTU BT 2K19 Session Id: TE-SN365-Aug-2020 Survey Id: TE26-Jan-2020 **Users Completed: 57** Overall Score: 94.46

Section	Question	Option	Mark	Users Opted	Opted %	Total Mark
1	Subject Knowledge	Excellent	17.75	45	78.95%	798.75
	casjootcage	Good	14.13	9	15.79%	127.17
		Fair	10.28	2	3.51%	20.56
		Poor	3.53	0	0%	0
		Can't Judge	8.00	1	1.75%	8
	2. Clarity and Understanding of teachers	Excellent	14.50	49	85.96%	710.5
	Explanations	Good	11.81	6	10.53%	70.86
		Fair	8.11	1	1.75%	8.11
		Poor	2.01	1	1.75%	2.01
		Can't Judge	4.09	0	0%	0
	3. Teachers Willingness to Help	Excellent	7.50	45	78.95%	337.5
	o. readilets willinghess to ricip	Good	5.71	8	14.04%	45.68
		Fair	4.04	1	1.75%	4.04
		Poor	1.13	2	3.51%	2.26
		Can't Judge	2.21	1	1.75%	2.21
ŀ	4. Approximate % of classes NOT engaged by the	Less than 10	5.85	47	82.46%	274.95
	teachers	10 to 25	3.66	3	5.26%	10.98
		More than 25	1.91	3	5.26%	5.73
		Can't Judge	1.64	4	7.02%	6.56
	5. Whether the teachers dictates notes only	No	4.00	50	87.72%	200
	5. Whether the teachers dictates hotes only	Yes	0.67	6	10.53%	4.02
		Can't Judge	1.65	1	1.75%	1.65
2	1. Tanahara shilitu ta arganiza lastura	Excellent	9.25	47	82.46%	434.75
	Teachers ability to organize lecture	Satisfactory	6.34	8	14.04%	50.72
		Inadequate	2.50	1	1.75%	2.5
		Can't Judge	3.16	1	1.75%	3.16
	2. Chood of proportation	Just Right	4.75	54	94.74%	256.5
	2. Speed of presentation	Too Fast	1.34	1	1.75%	1.34
		Can't Judge	1.40	2	3.51%	2.8
ŀ	2. Does the teacher encourage guestioning	Yes	6.88	50	87.72%	344
	Does the teacher encourage questioning	Some Times	3.89	5	8.77%	19.45
		No	0.74	1	1.75%	0.74
		Can't Judge	1.78	1	1.75%	1.78
	4. Robaviar of the teacher	Pleasant	6.75	53	92.98%	357.75
	4. Behavior of the teacher	Indifferent	2.78	2	3.51%	5.56
		Unpleasant	1.28	1	1.75%	1.28
		Can't Judge	1.94	1	1.75%	1.94
	E. Cincerity of the teacher	Sincere	11.50	55	96.49%	632.5
	5. Sincerity of the teacher	Not Sincere	1.33	1	1.75%	1.33
		Unable to Judge	5.06	0	0%	0
		Blank	4.03	1	1.75%	4.03
	6 Overall Tanahina affactivanasa	Excellent	11.25	50	87.72%	562.5
	Overall Teaching effectiveness	Good	9.03	5	8.77%	45.15
		Fair	6.46	2	3.51%	12.92
		Poor	1.56	0	0%	0
		Can't Judge	2.03	0	0%	0

Strength and Weakness

- caringStrength Understanding between students Weakness Nothing
- Nice teaching,she's so helpful and sincere

- excellent
- marvalous theacher
- Excellent
- Friendly, able to grasp well
- Great





Staff: SRI. SANUKRISHNA S.S.

Subject : EST120 - BASICS OF CIVIL & MECHANICAL ENGINEERING

Session Name: End Evaluation Batch: BTech KTU BT 2K19 Session Id: TE-SN365-Aug-2020 Survey Id: TE26-Jan-2020 **Users Completed: 57** Overall Score: 92.39

Section	Question	Option	Mark	Users Opted	Opted %	Total Mark
1	Subject Knowledge	Excellent	17.75	41	71.93%	727.75
	casjoot in our cago	Good	14.13	13	22.81%	183.69
		Fair	10.28	1	1.75%	10.28
		Poor	3.53	1	1.75%	3.53
		Can't Judge	8.00	1	1.75%	8
	Clarity and Understanding of teachers	Excellent	14.50	42	73.68%	609
	Explanations	Good	11.81	12	21.05%	141.72
		Fair	8.11	1	1.75%	8.11
		Poor	2.01	2	3.51%	4.02
		Can't Judge	4.09	0	0%	0
	3. Teachers Willingness to Help	Excellent	7.50	40	70.18%	300
	o. reachers willinghess to help	Good	5.71	12	21.05%	68.52
		Fair	4.04	4	7.02%	16.16
		Poor	1.13	0	0%	0
		Can't Judge	2.21	1	1.75%	2.21
	4. Approximate % of classes NOT engaged by the	Less than 10	5.85	47	82.46%	274.95
	teachers	10 to 25	3.66	3	5.26%	10.98
		More than 25	1.91	3	5.26%	5.73
		Can't Judge	1.64	4	7.02%	6.56
	5. Whether the teachers dictates notes only	No	4.00	51	89.47%	204
	5. Whether the teachers dictates notes only	Yes	0.67	4	7.02%	2.68
		Can't Judge	1.65	2	3.51%	3.3
2	1. Tanahara ahilitu ta arganiza laatura	Excellent	9.25	46	80.7%	425.5
_	Teachers ability to organize lecture	Satisfactory	6.34	9	15.79%	57.06
		Inadequate	2.50	1	1.75%	2.5
		Can't Judge	3.16	1	1.75%	3.16
		Just Right	4.75	52	91.23%	247
	2. Speed of presentation	Too Fast	1.34	3	5.26%	4.02
		Can't Judge	1.40	2	3.51%	2.8
		Yes	6.88	47	82.46%	323.36
	3. Does the teacher encourage questioning	Some Times	3.89	6	10.53%	23.34
		No	0.74	3	5.26%	2.22
		Can't Judge	1.78	1	1.75%	1.78
	4 Debaggar of the teacher	Pleasant	6.75	52	91.23%	351
	4. Behavior of the teacher	Indifferent	2.78	2	3.51%	5.56
		Unpleasant	1.28	2	3.51%	2.56
		Can't Judge	1.94	1	1.75%	1.94
	5 0: 1: 7:1	Sincere	11.50	53	92.98%	609.5
	5. Sincerity of the teacher	Not Sincere	1.33	1	1.75%	1.33
		Unable to Judge	5.06	2	3.51%	10.12
		Blank	4.03	1	1.75%	4.03
		Excellent	11.25	43	75.44%	483.75
	6. Overall Teaching effectiveness	Good	9.03	12	21.05%	108.36
		Fair	6.46	0	0%	0
		Poor	1.56	0	0%	0
		Can't Judge	2.03	2	3.51%	4.06
		Carribuoge	2.03	4	3.31%	4.00

Strength and Weakness

- Strength The way of presentation Weakness Nothing
 Nice teaching

- excellent
- a good friend to studentsExcellent
- delivery of information, kind, friendly
- Nice





Staff: SOUMYA BALAN R

Subject : EST120 - BASICS OF CIVIL & MECHANICAL ENGINEERING

Session Name: End Evaluation Batch: BTech KTU BT 2K19 Session Id: TE-SN365-Aug-2020 Survey Id: TE26-Jan-2020 **Users Completed: 57** Overall Score: 91.05

	1. Subject Knowledge	Excellent Good	17.75 14.13	38	66.67%	674.5
	, ,		14 13		1	1
			1 1.10	15	26.32%	211.95
		Fair	10.28	2	3.51%	20.56
		Poor	3.53	1	1.75%	3.53
		Can't Judge	8.00	1	1.75%	8
	2. Clarity and Understanding of teachers	Excellent	14.50	38	66.67%	551
	Explanations	Good	11.81	14	24.56%	165.34
		Fair	8.11	3	5.26%	24.33
		Poor	2.01	2	3.51%	4.02
		Can't Judge	4.09	0	0%	0
	3. Teachers Willingness to Help	Excellent	7.50	36	63.16%	270
	o. Todonoro Willinghood to Florp	Good	5.71	16	28.07%	91.36
		Fair	4.04	2	3.51%	8.08
		Poor	1.13	3	5.26%	3.39
		Can't Judge	2.21	0	0%	0
	4. Approximate % of classes NOT engaged by the	Less than 10	5.85	47	82.46%	274.95
	teachers	10 to 25	3.66	4	7.02%	14.64
		More than 25	1.91	4	7.02%	7.64
		Can't Judge	1.64	2	3.51%	3.28
	5. Whether the teachers dictates notes only	No	4.00	49	85.96%	196
	5. Whether the teachers dictates notes only	Yes	0.67	6	10.53%	4.02
		Can't Judge	1.65	2	3.51%	3.3
2	Teachers ability to organize lecture	Excellent	9.25	39	68.42%	360.75
	1. Teachers ability to organize lecture	Satisfactory	6.34	16	28.07%	101.44
		Inadequate	2.50	1	1.75%	2.5
		Can't Judge	3.16	1	1.75%	3.16
	2. Speed of proportation	Just Right	4.75	52	91.23%	247
	2. Speed of presentation	Too Fast	1.34	3	5.26%	4.02
		Can't Judge	1.40	2	3.51%	2.8
	2. Dono the teacher anapurage questioning	Yes	6.88	48	84.21%	330.24
	3. Does the teacher encourage questioning	Some Times	3.89	6	10.53%	23.34
		No	0.74	2	3.51%	1.48
		Can't Judge	1.78	1	1.75%	1.78
 	4. Pohovior of the teacher	Pleasant	6.75	51	89.47%	344.25
	4. Behavior of the teacher	Indifferent	2.78	3	5.26%	8.34
		Unpleasant	1.28	3	5.26%	3.84
		Can't Judge	1.94	0	0%	0
	E. Cincovity of the toronto.	Sincere	11.50	54	94.74%	621
	5. Sincerity of the teacher	Not Sincere	1.33	1	1.75%	1.33
		Unable to Judge	5.06	1	1.75%	5.06
		Blank	4.03	1	1.75%	4.03
F	0.0 HT 1: ""	Excellent	11.25	41	71.93%	461.25
	6. Overall Teaching effectiveness	Good	9.03	11	19.3%	99.33
		Fair	6.46	3	5.26%	19.38
		Poor	1.56	1	1.75%	1.56
		Can't Judge	2.03	1	1.75%	2.03

Strength and Weakness

- Strength Good way of presentation Weakness Nothing
 good teaching

- excellentfriendly teachingGood
- Nice





Staff: DONA SEBASTIAN

Subject: HUT102 - PROFESSIONAL COMMUNICATION

Session Name: End Evaluation Batch: BTech KTU BT 2K19 Session Id: TE-SN365-Aug-2020 Survey Id: TE26-Jan-2020 **Users Completed: 57** Overall Score: 94.16

Section	Question	Option	Mark	Users Opted	Opted %	Total Mark
1	Subject Knowledge	Excellent	17.75	45	78.95%	798.75
	casjootcage	Good	14.13	9	15.79%	127.17
		Fair	10.28	3	5.26%	30.84
		Poor	3.53	0	0%	0
		Can't Judge	8.00	0	0%	0
	2. Clarity and Understanding of teachers	Excellent	14.50	42	73.68%	609
	Explanations	Good	11.81	13	22.81%	153.53
		Fair	8.11	2	3.51%	16.22
		Poor	2.01	0	0%	0
		Can't Judge	4.09	0	0%	0
	3. Teachers Willingness to Help	Excellent	7.50	42	73.68%	315
	o. readilets willinghess to ricip	Good	5.71	12	21.05%	68.52
		Fair	4.04	2	3.51%	8.08
		Poor	1.13	1	1.75%	1.13
		Can't Judge	2.21	0	0%	0
	4. Approximate % of classes NOT engaged by the	Less than 10	5.85	48	84.21%	280.8
	teachers	10 to 25	3.66	5	8.77%	18.3
		More than 25	1.91	2	3.51%	3.82
		Can't Judge	1.64	2	3.51%	3.28
	5. Whether the teachers dictates notes only	No	4.00	53	92.98%	212
	5. Whether the teachers dictates hotes only	Yes	0.67	4	7.02%	2.68
		Can't Judge	1.65	0	0%	0
2	1. Tanahara ahilitu ta arganiza laatura	Excellent	9.25	47	82.46%	434.75
	Teachers ability to organize lecture	Satisfactory	6.34	9	15.79%	57.06
		Inadequate	2.50	1	1.75%	2.5
		Can't Judge	3.16	0	0%	0
		Just Right	4.75	53	92.98%	251.75
	2. Speed of presentation	Too Fast	1.34	3	5.26%	4.02
		Can't Judge	1.40	1	1.75%	1.4
	Does the teacher encourage questioning	Yes	6.88	50	87.72%	344
	3. Does the teacher encourage questioning	Some Times	3.89	6	10.53%	23.34
		No	0.74	1	1.75%	0.74
		Can't Judge	1.78	0	0%	0
	4. Behavior of the teacher	Pleasant	6.75	52	91.23%	351
	4. Denavior of the leadner	Indifferent	2.78	5	8.77%	13.9
		Unpleasant	1.28	0	0%	0
		Can't Judge	1.94	0	0%	0
	E. Cincerity of the teacher	Sincere	11.50	54	94.74%	621
	5. Sincerity of the teacher	Not Sincere	1.33	2	3.51%	2.66
		Unable to Judge	5.06	1	1.75%	5.06
		Blank	4.03	0	0%	0
	C. Overell Teaching offertiveness	Excellent	11.25	45	78.95%	506.25
	Overall Teaching effectiveness	Good	9.03	10	17.54%	90.3
		Fair	6.46	1	1.75%	6.46
		Poor	1.56	1	1.75%	1.56
		Can't Judge	2.03	0	0%	0

Strength and Weakness

- Strength Amazing interaction Weakness Nothing
 Good teaching

Special Remarks • excellent • Excellent

- communication skills, literacy knowledge
 Nice





Staff: NARMADA RN

Subject: HUT102 - PROFESSIONAL COMMUNICATION

Session Name: End Evaluation Batch: BTech KTU BT 2K19 Session Id: TE-SN365-Aug-2020 Survey Id: TE26-Jan-2020 **Users Completed: 57** Overall Score: 95

Section	Question	Option	Mark	Users Opted	Opted %	Total Mark
1	Subject Knowledge	Excellent	17.75	44	77.19%	781
	, ,	Good	14.13	11	19.3%	155.43
		Fair	10.28	2	3.51%	20.56
		Poor	3.53	0	0%	0
		Can't Judge	8.00	0	0%	0
	2. Clarity and Understanding of teachers	Excellent	14.50	46	80.7%	667
	Explanations	Good	11.81	10	17.54%	118.1
		Fair	8.11	1	1.75%	8.11
		Poor	2.01	0	0%	0
		Can't Judge	4.09	0	0%	0
	3. Teachers Willingness to Help	Excellent	7.50	48	84.21%	360
	o. rodonoro vviiiingricoo to riorp	Good	5.71	6	10.53%	34.26
		Fair	4.04	3	5.26%	12.12
		Poor	1.13	0	0%	0
		Can't Judge	2.21	0	0%	0
	4. Approximate % of classes NOT engaged by the	Less than 10	5.85	50	87.72%	292.5
	teachers	10 to 25	3.66	1	1.75%	3.66
		More than 25	1.91	4	7.02%	7.64
		Can't Judge	1.64	2	3.51%	3.28
	5. Whether the teachers dictates notes only	No	4.00	51	89.47%	204
	5. Whether the teachers dictates hotes only	Yes	0.67	5	8.77%	3.35
		Can't Judge	1.65	1	1.75%	1.65
2	Teachers ability to organize lecture	Excellent	9.25	49	85.96%	453.25
	1. reachers ability to organize lecture	Satisfactory	6.34	5	8.77%	31.7
		Inadequate	2.50	2	3.51%	5
		Can't Judge	3.16	1	1.75%	3.16
	2. Speed of presentation	Just Right	4.75	53	92.98%	251.75
		Too Fast	1.34	2	3.51%	2.68
		Can't Judge	1.40	2	3.51%	2.8
	2. Deep the teacher analyzage guestioning	Yes	6.88	48	84.21%	330.24
	3. Does the teacher encourage questioning	Some Times	3.89	7	12.28%	27.23
		No	0.74	1	1.75%	0.74
		Can't Judge	1.78	1	1.75%	1.78
	4. Pohovior of the teacher	Pleasant	6.75	55	96.49%	371.25
	4. Behavior of the teacher	Indifferent	2.78	0	0%	0
		Unpleasant	1.28	1	1.75%	1.28
		Can't Judge	1.94	1	1.75%	1.94
	E. Cinnevity of the tarabar	Sincere	11.50	55	96.49%	632.5
	5. Sincerity of the teacher	Not Sincere	1.33	0	0%	0
		Unable to Judge	5.06	1	1.75%	5.06
		Blank	4.03	1	1.75%	4.03
	C. Overell Teaching offertive	Excellent	11.25	49	85.96%	551.25
	6. Overall Teaching effectiveness	Good	9.03	7	12.28%	63.21
		Fair	6.46	0	0%	0
		Poor	1.56	1	1.75%	1.56
i i						

Strength and Weakness

- Strength Understanding between students Weakness Nothing
- Good teachingvery friendly

- excellentfriendly,good in profession,extra ordinary
- Excellent
- smile and communication skills
- Good





Staff: DR. JAYA J B

Subject: MAT102 - VECTOR CALCULUS, DIFFERENTIAL EQUATIONS AND TRANSFORMS

Session Name: End Evaluation Batch: BTech KTU BT 2K19 Session Id: TE-SN365-Aug-2020 Survey Id: TE26-Jan-2020 **Users Completed: 57** Overall Score: 88.05

Section	Question	Option	Mark	Users Opted	Opted %	Total Mark
1	1. Subject Knowledge	Excellent	17.75	38	66.67%	674.5
	,	Good	14.13	14	24.56%	197.82
		Fair	10.28	4	7.02%	41.12
		Poor	3.53	0	0%	0
		Can't Judge	8.00	1	1.75%	8
	2. Clarity and Understanding of teachers	Excellent	14.50	30	52.63%	435
	Explanations	Good	11.81	20	35.09%	236.2
		Fair	8.11	3	5.26%	24.33
		Poor	2.01	3	5.26%	6.03
		Can't Judge	4.09	1	1.75%	4.09
	3. Teachers Willingness to Help	Excellent	7.50	31	54.39%	232.5
		Good	5.71	18	31.58%	102.78
		Fair	4.04	4	7.02%	16.16
		Poor	1.13	1	1.75%	1.13
		Can't Judge	2.21	3	5.26%	6.63
	4. Approximate % of classes NOT engaged by the	Less than 10	5.85	47	82.46%	274.95
	teachers	10 to 25	3.66	4	7.02%	14.64
		More than 25	1.91	3	5.26%	5.73
		Can't Judge	1.64	3	5.26%	4.92
	5. Whether the teachers dictates notes only	No	4.00	45	78.95%	180
	,	Yes	0.67	9	15.79%	6.03
		Can't Judge	1.65	3	5.26%	4.95
2	1. Teachers ability to organize lecture	Excellent	9.25	31	54.39%	286.75
	,	Satisfactory	6.34	20	35.09%	126.8
		Inadequate	2.50	5	8.77%	12.5
		Can't Judge	3.16	1	1.75%	3.16
	2. Speed of presentation	Just Right	4.75	47	82.46%	223.25
		Too Fast	1.34	6	10.53%	8.04
		Can't Judge	1.40	4	7.02%	5.6
	3. Does the teacher encourage questioning	Yes	6.88	43	75.44%	295.84
		Some Times	3.89	10	17.54%	38.9
		No	0.74	2	3.51%	1.48
		Can't Judge	1.78	2	3.51%	3.56
	4. Behavior of the teacher	Pleasant	6.75	51	89.47%	344.25
		Indifferent	2.78	4	7.02%	11.12
		Unpleasant	1.28	1	1.75%	1.28
		Can't Judge	1.94	1	1.75%	1.94
	5. Sincerity of the teacher	Sincere	11.50	50	87.72%	575
	3	Not Sincere	1.33	2	3.51%	2.66
		Unable to Judge	5.06	4	7.02%	20.24
		Blank	4.03	1	1.75%	4.03
	6. Overall Teaching effectiveness	Excellent	11.25	36	63.16%	405
		Good	9.03	15	26.32%	135.45
		Fair	6.46	5	8.77%	32.3
		Poor	1.56	0	0%	0
		Can't Judge	2.03	1	1.75%	2.03

Strength and Weakness

- Strength Patience Weakness low voice
 faur teaching
 inaudible

- excellentExcellent
- able to solve problemsNice classes





Staff: SRI. CHITHARANJAN K

Subject : EST102 - PROGRAMMING IN C

Session Name: End Evaluation Batch: BTech KTU BT 2K19 Session Id: TE-SN365-Aug-2020 Survey Id: TE26-Jan-2020 **Users Completed: 57** Overall Score: 78.71

Section	Question	Option	Mark	Users Opted	Opted %	Total Mark
1	Subject Knowledge	Excellent	17.75	22	38.6%	390.5
	, ,	Good	14.13	25	43.86%	353.25
		Fair	10.28	6	10.53%	61.68
		Poor	3.53	3	5.26%	10.59
		Can't Judge	8.00	1	1.75%	8
	2. Clarity and Understanding of teachers	Excellent	14.50	15	26.32%	217.5
	Explanations	Good	11.81	23	40.35%	271.63
		Fair	8.11	10	17.54%	81.1
		Poor	2.01	7	12.28%	14.07
		Can't Judge	4.09	2	3.51%	8.18
	3. Teachers Willingness to Help	Excellent	7.50	19	33.33%	142.5
	o. rodonoro vviiiingricoo to riorp	Good	5.71	21	36.84%	119.91
		Fair	4.04	14	24.56%	56.56
		Poor	1.13	1	1.75%	1.13
		Can't Judge	2.21	2	3.51%	4.42
	4. Approximate % of classes NOT engaged by the	Less than 10	5.85	42	73.68%	245.7
	teachers	10 to 25	3.66	7	12.28%	25.62
		More than 25	1.91	5	8.77%	9.55
		Can't Judge	1.64	3	5.26%	4.92
	5. Whether the teachers dictates notes only	No	4.00	43	75.44%	172
	3. Whether the teachers dictates hotes only	Yes	0.67	9	15.79%	6.03
		Can't Judge	1.65	5	8.77%	8.25
2	Teachers ability to organize lecture	Excellent	9.25	24	42.11%	222
	1. Teachers ability to organize lecture	Satisfactory	6.34	25	43.86%	158.5
		Inadequate	2.50	5	8.77%	12.5
		Can't Judge	3.16	3	5.26%	9.48
	2. Speed of presentation	Just Right	4.75	42	73.68%	199.5
		Too Fast	1.34	8	14.04%	10.72
		Can't Judge	1.40	7	12.28%	9.8
	3. Does the teacher encourage questioning	Yes	6.88	32	56.14%	220.16
	3. Does the teacher encourage questioning	Some Times	3.89	19	33.33%	73.91
		No	0.74	2	3.51%	1.48
		Can't Judge	1.78	4	7.02%	7.12
	Behavior of the teacher	Pleasant	6.75	40	70.18%	270
	The reaction of the teaction	Indifferent	2.78	5	8.77%	13.9
		Unpleasant	1.28	6	10.53%	7.68
		Can't Judge	1.94	6	10.53%	11.64
	5. Sincerity of the teacher	Sincere	11.50	46	80.7%	529
	3. Sincerity of the teacher	Not Sincere	1.33	3	5.26%	3.99
		Unable to Judge	5.06	4	7.02%	20.24
		Blank	4.03	4	7.02%	16.12
	Overall Teaching effectiveness	Excellent	11.25	19	33.33%	213.75
	o. Overall reaching enectiveness	Good	9.03	21	36.84%	189.63
		Fair	6.46	9	15.79%	58.14
		Poor	1.56	5	8.77%	7.8
		Can't Judge	2.03	3	5.26%	6.09

Strength and Weakness

- Strength Good way of communication Weakness low voice
 Good teaching
 Great

- goodGood
- able to understand